

Mediterranean practitioners' network & capacity building for effective response to emerging security challenges



MEDEA is a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 - Research and Innovation Framework Programme **H2020-SEC-21-GM-2016-2017**, under grant agreement no **787111**.

Additional information about the project and the consortium can be found at www.medea-project.eu

Call for Ideas and Solutions - Terms of Reference

Annex 6 Evaluation Form: Call for Ideas



| Annex 6, Table 1: Information about the Applicant | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| Applicant's details | | |
| Organisation / Company Name Information – No Score | | |
| Contact Person's Name | Information – No Score | |
| Contact Person's Surname | Information – No Score | |
| E-mail | il Information – No Score | |
| Organisation address | Information – No Score | |

| | Annex 6, Table 2: Description of the Proposal / Innovation | | | |
|--|--|------|------|------|
| | Solution details | | | |
| 1. | 1. Title | | | |
| | Information – No Score | 2 | | |
| 2. | 2. Description of Innovation | | | |
| Information – No Score | | | | |
| 3. Indicate the reported Capability Gap Findings (CGFs) your proposal will address | | | | |
| a) | Several capability gaps (CGFs) in one TCP | 2.00 | Min | Max |
| b) | One capability gap (CGF) in one TCP | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| c) | Several capability gaps in more than one TCPs | 3.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| 4. Is the proposal | | | | |
| a) | translated into potential | 0.50 | Min | Max |
| b) | in a phase where concept? | 0.50 | | |
| c) | actual research concept? | 1.00 | 0.50 | 2.00 |
| d) | in a laboratory environment? | 1.00 | | |



| e) in a user environm | nent | 2.00 | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| 5. What type of innovation will the proposal be? | | | | |
| a) Product, service (r | non-consulting) | 1.00 | Min | Max |
| b) Method / / cons | sulting service /moment | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| 6. Please indicate how th | ne innovation will be made | e available to se | curity practi | tioners |
| a) It will (Stand-alo | one solution) | 0.25 | Min | Max |
| b) It will existing so | olution | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| c) No exploitation pla | anned | 0.00 | | |
| Information – No Score | | | | |
| 7. When do you expect that this innovation could be made available? | | | | |
| a) In less than 1 year | | 1.00 | Min | Max |
| b) Between 1 and 2 y | vears | 0.75 | | |
| c) Between 3 and 5 y | rears | 0.50 | 0.25 | 1.00 |
| d) After 5 years | | 0.25 | | |
| 8. Are you the owner/ rightsholder on the IPRs of the solution proposed? | | | | |
| a) No weight b) Exclusion | | | | |

A similar scorecard will be used by the practitioners who will evaluate the innovation proposal.

The practitioners after the presentation will adjust the score in Annex 6, Table 2 above and populate the following table.

| Annex 6, Table 3: Usefulness and applicability | | | |
|---|------|-----|-----|
| Practitioners expectations | | | |
| 9. Do you feel the innovation will address/fulfil the capability gaps as described in Question 3? | | | |
| a) Yes | 1.00 | Min | Max |



| b) | Yes but not all of them | 0.50 | 0.25 | 1.00 |
|---|-------------------------|------|------|------|
| c) | Some of them | 0.25 | | |
| 10. How would you rate the level of commitment of relevant partners to exploit the innovation and deliver a solution? | | | | |
| a) | Very Low | 0.00 | Min | Max |
| b) | Low | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| c) | Average | 0.50 | | |
| d) | High | 0.75 | | |
| e) | Very High | 1.00 | | |